

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/821,137

Applicant(s)

OKUNSEINDE ET AL.

Examiner

Jared M. Bibbee

Art Unit

2161

All Participants:(1) Jared M. Bibbee.(2) Gerald Glanzman.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007**Time:** 11:00 AM**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***35 U.S.C. 112 2nd Para with respect to independent claims 1, 11, 17, and 27***Claims discussed:***1, 11, 17, and 27***Prior art documents discussed:***N/A***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Examiner suggested language that would overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejection. Applicant agreed to consider the suggestion and file a supplemental amendment.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)